



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/816615

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

13

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MR. TRAINOR (3) Prim. Ex. Isabella

(2) MR. SORELL (4) _____

Date of Interview 9/24/98

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 9 & 18, 21

Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki & Koser

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Claim 9 is allowable. Claim 18 & 21 are readable
on Suzuki. Applicant's representative will review ref.
and make appropriate changes if deemed necessary.
SEE ATTACHED PROPOSED AMENDMENT

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

DRAFT**PATENT****10619 (203-1834)****GRAFT ATTACHMENT ASSEMBLY****PROPOSED CLAIMS**

9. A graft attachment assembly comprising:

5 a graft member including a base portion having a top surface and a branch portion having a passageway therethrough, the branch portion projecting outwardly from the base portion;

10 a monolithic clamp member having a bottom surface configured to sealingly engage the top surface of the base portion and an opening dimensioned to slidably receive the branch portion, the clamp member being movable about the branch portion to a position adjacent to the base portion to non-invasively clamp tissue therebetween; and

a locking member slidable about the branch portion, the locking member being dimensioned to secure a vessel about the branch portion at a position spaced from the base portion and the clamp member.

15 18. A graft attachment assembly comprising:

an attachment member including a base insertable into a vessel lumen and at least one branch extending distally from the base to receive a graft, the branch having at least two ramped surfaces; and

20 a locking member positionable about the graft and the branch at a position spaced from the base to retain the graft on a distal portion of the branch, the locking member being formed from a resilient material capable of passing over at least one of the ramped surfaces.

DRAFT

21. A method of attaching first and second vessel portions comprising the steps of:

5 (a) placing a base portion of a graft attachment assembly within a lumen of the first vessel portion, the graft attachment assembly including a branch portion projecting from the base portion, the branch portion being positioned to extend distally from the vessel;

(b) non-invasively clamping the base portion to the first vessel portion;

10 [(b)] (c) positioning a second vessel portion about a first end of the branch portion; and

[(c)] (d) frictionally securing the second vessel portion about the branch portion at a location spaced from the base portion.

DILWORTH & BARRESE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD
UNIONDALE, NEW YORK 11553TELEPHONE: (516) 228-8484
FACSIMILE: (516) 228-8516
e-mail: iplew@dilworthbarrese.comPETER G. DILWORTH
ROCCO S. BARRESE
DAVID M. CARTER
PAUL J. FARRELL
PETER DELUCA
FRANK CHAU
JEFFREY S. STEENADRIAN T. CALDERONE
GEORGE M. KAPLAN
JOSEPH W. SCHMIDT
RAYMOND E. FARRELL
RUSSELL R. KASSNER
CHRISTOPHER G. TRAINOR**
GEORGE LIKOUREZOS
JAMES M. LOEFFLER
WILLIAM E. LEWIS
JAMES J. BITETTO
JOHN G. TUTUNJIAN
FRANK V. DEROSA
MARK S. LEONARDO**
GASPARE J. RANDAZZO
PAUL D. ACKERMAN
EDWARD C. MEAGHER
SUSAN L. HESS*ADMITTED IN NEW JERSEY ONLY
**ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS ONLYOF COUNSEL
FERNANDA M. FIORDALISI**CALIFORNIA OFFICE**4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DR.
SUITE 400
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122
TELEPHONE: (619) 546-4410
FACSIMILE: (619) 558-9498
(619) 453-2839OF COUNSEL
FREDERICK W. PEPPER**VIRGINIA OFFICE**POST OFFICE BOX 7187
FAIRFAX STATION, VA 22039
TELEPHONE: (703) 451-8850
FACSIMILE: (703) 451-8851OF COUNSEL
JAMES F. POWERS, JR.**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**DATE: SEPT. 2, 1998

TO:

Examiner IsabellaApplication SERIAL No. 08/816,615

FROM:

Chris TrainorNO. OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: 2**MESSAGE:**

IN CASE OF INCOMPLETE OR INADEQUATE TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CALL (516) 228-8484.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and/or confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this facsimile or its content is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile message to us by mail or destroy it without making a copy. Thank you.